UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,306	10/598,306 04/08/2008 Lawrence Solomon		ABT-034	2575
	7590 12/13/201 TECHNOLOGIES, 1	EXAMINER		
1000 SOUTH PINE ISLAND ROAD SUITE 230 PLANTATION, FL 33324			BARHAM, BETHANY P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/598,306	SOLOMON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BETHANY BARHAM	1615	

		BETTI ATT BATTIAN	1010
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE	REPLY FILED <u>29 November 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b)	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
have bunder set for may re	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sthin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latereduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months of the date of
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	
	 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in below appeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying the issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:		
4. 🔲	•		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. 📙	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	-
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed at the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.4.5.8-10.15-22 and 43. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 6.11-14.24 and 23.	vided below or appended.	r be entered and an explanation of
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered it or other evidence is necessary and
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
] The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio <u>JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowance because:
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
		/S. TRAN/	
		Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1615

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendments to the claims will NOT be entered since they change the scope of the independent claim by requiring 2 layers and 3 or more segments, one segment adapted to be broken for diving the dose prior to administration and height/width limitations, where 2 or more segments, one inactive, and one active were all that was originally required in the independent claim. As such the claims remain rejected over the prior art of record. Applicant argues that '608 does not teach the "IR inactive segment is adapted to be broken for dividing the dose prior to administration". However, as pointed out above the amendments are not being entered and the claims presented on 08/20/10 and rejected over '608 did not require such a limitation. Further Applicant's other arguments with respect to '447 and '608 in view of '447 alone or in combination with '021 and '589 are all directed to the inactive layer being IR and the original claims on 08/20/10 did not require that the inactive layer be comprised of anything only that it not contain drug and that the pharmaceutical portion be immediate release (which is taught in the prior art of record). Applicant also argues that '683 and '447 in view of Pharmaceutical Industry Info and further in view of '021 and '589 does not teach the limitations that will not be entered. The Examiner respectfully points out that previously the height/width requirement was not part of claim 1 and thus not necessary for obviousness. As such the rejections of record are maintained.

Also, Applicant did not refile the terminal disclaimer over IS 7,329,418 and as such it is maintained until a proper TD is filed. However, the terminal disclaimers filed on 11/29/10 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of 10/598267 and 11/569343 have been reviewed and are accepted. The terminal disclaimers have been recorded.